

Khomeini Fanatics Provoke Imperialist Threats

Iran Embassy Crisis

NOVEMBER 20—The seizure of the American embassy and staff in Teheran enters its third week with the most dangerous imperialist power in history locked in diplomatic/economic warfare with a priest caste of Shi'ite mullahs who want to return to the seventh-century puritanical desert "paradise" of the Koran. In Iran, the embassy occupation and taking of 62 hostages by well-organized Muslim "students" demanding the extradition of murderous ex-dictator Shah Reza Pahlavi from his New York City hospital bed has revitalized waning popular support for the theocratic regime of Ayatollah Khomeini. From the United States has come a backlash of "national unity." Reacting to a feeling of helplessness, right now many Americans would approve of nuking Qum, the religious capital of Khomeini's Persian-chauvinist Islamic sect. The Iran embassy crisis has even accomplished the feat of temporarily bolstering an image of the desperate Jimmy Carter as a "national leader."

The mullahs claim their action is directed against the U.S. government which granted the shah "medical asylum," and not against the "American people." But that is not the way the American people are viewing it. It is not just the right-wingers organizing flag-waving anti-Iranian demonstrations. The Teheran embassy is denounced not merely as a "nest of spies," which it certainly is, but also as a "den of corruption." Purging "corruption" in Khomeini's Iran includes banning popular music, movies, alcohol, mixed bathing, extra-marital sex, homosexuals and most other aspects of "decadent Judeo-Christian" Western culture... except dollars, marks, the pound sterling, etc. Hearing the fanatical ayatollah calling for a purge of all "America-loving rotten brains" (intellectuals), watching American tourists and American contractors working in Iran dragged out of hotels and offices and thrown in with the hostages, the U.S. population has responded with a wave of national



New York Times

As U.S. flag burned over captured Teheran embassy, flag-wavers in Houston reacted with chauvinist frenzy.

chauvinism.

The *New York Times* (20 November) reports: "Ayatollah Khomeini has leveled a general charge that the American Embassy was a 'nest of spies.' The White House and State Department have refused to comment directly on that accusation." And for good reason. Under the shah, the U.S. embassy was notorious as a branch office of the CIA, a coequal and

sometimes predominant seat of power with the Niavaran Palace. High CIA officials were posted to Teheran as American ambassadors, including Richard Helms and William Sullivan. We shed no tears for the imperialist diplomats. NSA/CIA agents and Marine livers captured by the Islamic students. And even while the government was disclaiming any intention of military aggression against Iran and

counseling "calm," the Pentagon has created a 110,000-man "Rapid Deployment Force" standing by for such emergencies. Revolutionaries and the American working class must militantly oppose military action by U.S. imperialism against Iran.

Having made this clear, we must point out that this was hardly the heroic Tet offensive of 1968, which besieged the U.S. embassy in Saigon, nor the 1958 rock-throwing attack on Vice-President Nixon in Caracas—both clearly blows by left-wing forces against U.S. imperialist strongpoints and rulers. The mullahs have not been waging a struggle against imperialism at all—on the contrary, Khomeini's government had most recently been negotiating with Washington for resumption of billions of dollars in military aid to be used against Kurdish rebels, Arab oil workers, Iranian leftists and the Soviet Union. The Teheran embassy seizure and hostage-taking was a *diversion*. It was fundamentally an attempt to refurbish Khomeini's anti-shah credentials in a period of growing disillusionment with, and opposition to, his clerical-reactionary rule.

Internationally, the Khomeinists' action has met with disfavor from governments of almost every stripe. The

Ayatollah Nuttier Than Shah But

Hands Off Iran!

NOVEMBER 20, 11:30 p.m.—U.S. President Jimmy Carter has now threatened military retaliation against Iran. Today the State Department announced that the aircraft carrier Midway and five other warships are standing by in the Arabian Sea, while the carrier Kitty Hawk is sailing from the Philippines. Is Washington getting ready to write off the hostages?

The Khomeini-led Muslim fanatics have violated diplomatic prerogatives in a way that not even the Nazis did. But Carter is invoking the felt outrage of the American people in order to get back into the White House over the bodies of tens of thousands of Iranians, whose just desire to take their own country back has been mobilized by a

clerical-medievalist fanatic.

The essential thrust of American policy toward Iran for decades has not just been to plunder the country but an anti-Communist crusade against Russia. The U.S. imperialists started sending machine-gun bullets to the mad mullah Khomeini in order to get the Soviet Union. And as was demonstrated by John Kennedy in the Cuban missile crisis, when it comes to taking the world to the brink of a nuclear holocaust, the U.S. bourgeoisie is no more rational than the ayatollah of Qum.

Meanwhile Carter is giving this tin-pot "Imam" just the excuse he needs to justify the privation of the Iranian masses for a generation: blame it on the Americans.

The American working class must militantly oppose these war threats. In the event of actual U.S. armed intervention, workers and socialists must stand for military defense of Iran against imperialist attack, while opposing the reactionary mullah rulers, and stand ready to offer revolutionary defense toward the Soviet degenerated workers state as well.

continued on page 10

Fed Vendetta Against Brooklyn Docks Leader

Who Got Tony Scotto—and Why

After a sensational nine-week trial, Brooklyn dock union leader Anthony Scotto was convicted by an NYC jury of accepting some \$225,000 in illegal payoffs, as well as the ubiquitous charge of income tax evasion. The highly polished general organizer of the International Longshoremen's Association (ILA) since 1963 and president of the powerful Brooklyn Local 1814 now faces up to 20 years in prison. He also faces being forced out of his elected union posts and being cleaned out by the IRS. Convicted together with Scotto on charges of "labor racketeering" was Anthony Anastasio, executive vice president of Local 1814.

One thing was not in question. Somebody had gotten Tony Scotto and gotten him good. Not without effort. The government hounded him for 20 years, at least since the day in 1957 when he married the daughter of "Tough Tony" Anastasio (previous head of the ILA). Robert B. Fiske, Jr., U.S. attorney for the southern district of New York who prosecuted the case himself, had high praise for this tireless FBI project. And why shouldn't he? According to the *New York Times* (18 January), the FBI admits to having over 100 full-time personnel as waterfront "investigators," many of them devoted exclusively to the Scotto vendetta. During the last two years, for instance, the 25-man FBI Squad 54 has been holed up in the firehouse on Pier A at the foot of Whitehall Street in Lower Manhattan, headquarters for their spying operation on the building across the pier which houses the union offices of Anthony Scotto. "This was only part of what Quentin Ertel, an FBI spokesman, called 'one of the most extensive investigations in the bureau's history'."

So after all the investigations, after bugging everything huggable, after the secret wiretaps, the miles of taped whispers, the years of computerized confidences (not to mention the millions in taxpayers' money spent for the FBI teams, the Justice Department investigators, the high-grade legal staff)—after all this, what do they claim to have on this man? They claim he took about five grand a month in payola. What? No "On the Waterfront" murders? No victims in the East River with concrete boots? Nobody baked to a crisp in a pizza oven? Not even a conspiracy to break a thumb? It is not that Mafia mobsters do not exist—a story popular mainly at Columbus Day Parades—but that this man in his elegantly tailored and perfectly-



Tony Scotto, wife Marion and son.

Pescatore/NY Daily News

pressed dark suits is not one of them. Tony Scotto is no violent mobster. So why did the feds get him?

A Question of Character

It is in this sense that the Scotto trial indicted the government and acquitted the union leader in the eyes of millions of observers. The prosecution did not prove the substantive corruption of Scotto, but the deep corruption of the federal government's own long and bitter vendetta against him. Immediately before the public were placed questions of character: Scotto's, and the government's. The contrast was there in the trial week after week. In cross-examination it was Robert Fiske, G-man on the make vs. Scotto the union leader. The government had set upon him and his family with its surveillance and tricks, its pressure and prejudice. Finally, the prosecution trapped one of Scotto's weaker friends, forcing a confession and a betrayal of friendship. They strapped a concealed tape recorder to the thigh of William "Sonny" Montella to get evidence against Scotto. Montella—"friend," federal informer, government link—is the "hero" of this "On the Waterfront" drama, the guy who

"coulda been a contender, Charlie." He didn't seem like much of a contender for character in the Scotto trial.

It was the tapes that convinced the jury, carefully schooled to judge the narrowest legal issue. Murray Kempton, who has written about the Scotto trial with compassion and intelligence, saw the tapes too within the modern morality drama being played out in Foley Square:

"And yet, curiously, to read the transcript of those tapes was to have the mind haunted not so much by what they may or may not have confessed as by the crying out of the wounds that Anthony Scotto has sustained from this government's harrying of him that began on his wedding day almost 20 years ago."

—*New York Post*, 13 November

The fascination of the media with this third-generation dock worker from Brooklyn's rundown Red Hook district who scrapped his way up in a rough union to be its 45-year-old soft-spoken leader is deserved. The man who married the niece of Albert Anastasia—the "enforcer" of "Murder, Inc."—and went on to lecture at Harvard and become a trustee for the Brooklyn Academy of Music has a lot of friends. The witnesses to Scotto's character included New York State governor Hugh Carey and two

former NYC mayors, John Lindsay and Robert Wagner.

But Scotto was not a mobster collecting "protection" money, nor a Tammany Hall machine boss issuing orders to mayors and governors. He tried hard to be a respectable Democratic Party power broker, and in the main he succeeded. Tony Scotto was not a small man; if he didn't have a good reason not to, he was always ready to do someone a favor. He was popular on the docks, and obviously he held a lot of IOU's from the Democratic Party pols as well.

Politically Tony Scotto is a liberal: a "civil rights activist" in the early '60s, later a prominent labor "dove" on the Vietnam War. As a liberal he has taken up such causes as boycotting Rhodesian chrome, and also calling for a reactionary boycott of grain shipments to Russia during the 1973 Arab-Israeli War. He is credited with winning the Guaranteed Annual Wage. At the same time this was obtained by agreeing to the elimination of thousands of longshore jobs through "modernization" contracts.

Scotto wanted social status, political clout, and plenty of money. Like many others these days, he found it hard to live in New York on less than \$100,000 a year. But these are not crimes. He claims he shuffled some money from the stevedoring bosses to the campaigns of liberal Democratic friends; the prosecution claimed he used it for himself. He didn't live particularly high on the hog, but who knows? Or particularly cares? A man who cultivated such fast friends at the top of the political ladder was bound to turn over a few poisonous enemies. Just who they are may never be known. Or, are there more sinister forces, perhaps in the union, who want Scotto out of the way?

Feds Out of the Unions

One thing is clear. The 20-year vendetta against Anthony Scotto was relentlessly carried out not because he was suspected of taking some extra money. All told, the FBI claims Scotto,

continued on page 10

French Trotskyists Reply to Thug Attacks

OCI/LCR in Frenzy

We reprint below a column written by the Ligue Trotskyiste de France (LTF), originally scheduled to appear on the 23 November issue of Rouge, newspaper of the Ligue Communiste Révolutionnaire (LCR). LCR leaders Alain Krivine and Laurian had promised to print the column, but changed their minds when they saw it contained "political characterizations"—i.e., criticisms of the LCR.

In the most recent issues of *Rouge* and *Informations Ouvrières*, one finds the LCR¹ and the OCI² denouncing each other for violating the democratic rights of the Ligue Trotskyiste de France³. Of course, then reciprocal accusations are well-founded. At the November 13 meeting called by the OCI to defend the democratic rights of Trotskyists in Nicaragua against repression by the Sandinista/hourgeois government, the OCI gave a concrete example of its own conception of workers democracy: as soon as a group of 14 LTF supporters started to distrib-

ute leaflets and sell our press, about 50 members of the OCI goon squad immediately intervened to violently drive off the militants, hitting several comrades and tearing up leaflets and newspapers. All those who rose to our defense were also harassed. (These facts from the LTF press release of 13 November rectify the incorrect version of events printed in *Rouge*.)

If the LCR now suddenly finds itself concerned with our democratic rights it is not out of respect for workers democracy, but because the LCR seeks to exploit this incident in its factional confrontations with the OCI and LTF. The sanctimonious protestations in *Rouge* ring hollow since only one month ago a Krivine goon squad forcibly expelled a spokesman for the LTF from an LCR meeting in Nicaragua when he attempted to take the floor to criticize the USec's craven capitulation before the Sandinistas. The USec leaders have offered themselves for the sordid little job they think will warrant them a place

in the Sandinista entourage: international goon squad against left critics of the petty-bourgeois FSLN and the Nicaraguan government.

Why the LTF and Why Now?

All the factions now competing with each other were unified at one point: together they bowed before the mullahs in Iran while the international Spartacist tendency called from the beginning for "Down with the Shah, Down with the Mullahs!" Now, however, in pursuit of his wrecking operation against the rapidly rightward moving centrists Mandel and Krivine, the reformist Lambert (and his lackeys Nemo, Ulysses et al.,⁴ along with the reformist-adventurer Moreno) has launched a carefully orchestrated programmatic attack against the USec's total capitulation and liquidation in Nicaragua. The result so far has been splits in the USec and the formation in France of the LTF.

continued on page 11

WORKERS VANGUARD

Marxist Working-Class Biweekly of the Spartacist League of the U.S.

EDITOR Jan Norden

ASSOCIATE EDITOR Charles Burroughs

PRODUCTION Darlene Kamiura (Manager) Noah Wilner

CIRCULATION MANAGER Karen Wyatt

EDITORIAL BOARD Jon Brule, George Foster, Liz Gordon, James Robertson, Joseph Seymour, Marjorie Slamberg

Workers Vanguard (USPS 098-770) published biweekly, skipping an issue in August and a week in December, by the Spartacist Publishing Co., 260 West Broadway, New York, NY 10013. Telephone 966-6841 (Editorial), 925-5665 (Business). Address all correspondence to Box 1377, GPO New York, NY 10001. Domestic subscriptions \$3.00/24 issues. Second-class postage paid at New York, NY.

Opinions expressed in signed articles or letters do not necessarily express the editorial viewpoint.

No. 244 23 November 1979

The Threat Behind Imperialist Tears for Cambodia

ADAPTED FROM
AUSTRALASIAN SPARTACIST
NOVEMBER 1979

For the past month it has been impossible to pick up a paper or turn on the TV without confronting the agonizing spectacle of skeletal and dying Cambodian refugees struggling over the border to "sanctuary" in Thailand. The starvation is real, but the message—that it only isn't for obstruction by the bloodthirsty Vietnamese, "free world" leaders like Jimmy "Human Rights" Carter and his tag-along "statesman" [Australian prime minister] Malcolm Fraser would have been able to rush in massive aid long ago—is a vicious lie of genocidal proportions.

Oh, Cambodia used to be such a "lush, gentle land," they cry. And Phnom Penh used to be so "elegant and luxurious," so "civilized" a watering hole—at least for the suave diplomats and mass murderers of imperialism. All this sudden imperialist "humanitarianism" comes from people whose hands are soaked with the blood of the Indochinese peoples. Coming from Rosalynn Carter and her husband (who as governor of Georgia declared a day of celebration for "our boys" in Vietnam, protesting the conviction of My Lai mass murderer Lt. William Calley), this "concern" is cruelly hypocritical. The U.S. didn't care too much about "the survival of the Khmer people" when it rained more bombs on the Cambodians even than it did on the Japanese during World War II.

Although most bourgeois pundits trace the cause of the present starvation back to the murderous horrors of the Pol Pot regime which overthrew the U.S. puppet Lon Nol in 1975, the real beginning is in early 1969, when the U.S. began four years of the most devastating carpet bombing of the Vietnam War. Between 1970 and 1973, the U.S. rained down an average of more than 100 tons of bombs for every man, woman and child in Cambodia, turning heavily populated areas into fields of craters and destroying agricultural dikes and waterworks. Probably a million died in Cambodia during the civil war against Lon Nol, and famine already existed when the U.S. brutally cut off all aid following the fall of its henchman in 1975.

Certainly there's plenty of horror to go around. The Pol Pot regime, ousted by Vietnamese forces this spring, while it overthrew capitalism, was undoubtedly the most brutal, primitive and bizarre Stalinist dictatorship ever to rule a deformed workers state. While it's necessary to critically examine atrocity stories from obviously self-interested sources, the total mass of evidence is



Cambodian refugees head for camps in Thailand, staging areas for imperialist-backed reaction.

Berges/Sygma

convincing. The Vietnamese "boat people" do not claim they've left a land of mass murder and rampant starvation, as do the thousands of Cambodian refugees. Nor do those mainland Chinese fleeing to Hong Kong claim they were forcibly marched hundreds of miles from their homelands, made to work at gunpoint and live in sexually segregated compounds.

Now that they've been thrown out and are looking for allies, even Pol Pot's Khmer Rouge are engaging in a little self-criticism. Former foreign minister Ieng Sary admits that some cadres "did not give medicine to the sick people though there were medicines, some people were made to work hard though they were not in a position to do so.... Some cadres even forbade people to grow maize or sugar cane around their houses as it was considered a sign of individualism. Those who had grown them were not even allowed to eat them" (*Far Eastern Economic Review*, 22 June). In *Le Monde* (2 June) Sary admits that when the present Heng Samrin government was installed in January, there were "people who went toward...the Vietnamese, attracted by their program."

There is no doubt that the plight of the Cambodian people today is desperate. But the sudden announcement of

"concern" by the United States and its anti-communist allies of the ASEAN alliance (Singapore, Malaysia, Thailand, the Philippines and Indonesia) is nothing but the thinnest cover for a renewed imperialist drive to "save" Cambodia for capitalism—and, they hope, to strike a blow against the Vietnamese deformed workers state. For months now the U.S. and its newly ally China have maneuvered to isolate the Hanoi-backed Heng Samrin government, upholding the universally despised Pol Pot regime as the "legitimate" representative of the Cambodians in the United Nations.

Thus it's no accident that UN special sessions on providing food to Cambodia took place only a week before the General Assembly passed a motion November 14, sponsored by the ASEAN nations and heavily lobbied for by Peking, calling on Vietnam to withdraw its troops from Cambodia. And at an "emergency conference" on Cambodia held at the Riverside Church in New York City on November 17, the main speakers unanimously called for a Geneva-style international conference to restore Cambodia's "national integrity" and "neutrality." Keynote speaker In Tam, former prime minister in a pre-Lon Nol government, pushed for a "third force" under Prince Norodom

Sihanouk. This, of course, is simply a call for capitalist restoration.

Food Is "Not Political"?

Meanwhile, the Pol Pot forces are increasingly desperate and isolated. Rapidly reduced to a small band and driven into the mountainous regions near the Thai border, the remnants of the Khmer Rouge have been kept alive with food and sanctuary in Thailand, including permission to escape Vietnamese forces through Thai territory and re-enter Cambodia at safer points. They have also been allowed to receive arms from their Stalinist Chinese backers across Thai territory (*Financial Review*, [Sydney], 26 October). In February, after promising the U.S. to "teach Vietnam a bloody lesson," China launched a "punitive" invasion, thus solidifying the U.S./China alliance aimed against the Soviet Union and putting additional military pressure on Vietnam.

Three months ago, the Heng Samrin government of Cambodia urgently appealed to the Red Cross and UN relief agencies for food and vital medical supplies, only to be met with a string of hypocritical "guarantees" and "conditions." Any aid to Phnom Penh had to

continued on page 9



Heng Samrin

Lower/Contact



Pol Pot

Gamma-Liaison



Lon Nol

Burnett/Contact



Prince Norodom Sihanouk

Gamma-Liaison

"No Strike" Reformists Vie for Power

NY Transit Workers Need a Fighting Leadership

After five disastrous years of New York City "austerity"—60,000 municipal jobs lost, real wages slashed and extraordinary suffering for the whole population—the ranks of NYC labor are seething. With the big city union contracts coming up this spring, even Victor Gotbaum, chief milksop of the 1974-76 Big MAC assault on the municipal unions, now says the 100,000 members of his AFSCME District Council 37 are "in pain" over rising inflation. Sanitation workers leaders hope to get more "clout" by joint bargaining of the "uniformed services" (i.e., "uniting" with the strikebreaking cops). And meanwhile a four-way fight is underway for leadership of the powerful Transport Workers Union (TWU) Local 100, representing the city's 35,000 bus and subway workers.

The labor-hating dailies have taken particular note of the Local 100 elections, with mail ballots for local and divisional officers and a new executive board due to be counted on December 5. They fear that "there will certainly be a strike" (*New York Times*, 28 October) when the current contract expires March 31 if TWU Local president John Lawe is defeated. NYC rulers will remember the lesson transit workers taught wonder-boy mayor John Lindsay in 1966, when Local 100 singlehandedly defied court injunctions and arrests, shutting down the financial capital of the United States for 12 days. They have reason to fear—for transit workers have been taking it on the chin and as a *Post* columnist put it: "The troops are restless."

At issue is the need to recover the staggering cuts in real wages lost during the last five years. Traditionally the TWU leadership has had a "go it alone" mentality, but this time they went down

along with everybody else. After an 18 percent drop in real wages from 1974 to 1978, during the last contract it was made even worse with an insulting 6 percent raise in the face of double-digit inflation. Once the second-highest-paid in the U.S., New York bus drivers have now dropped to *sixteenth* place in the country. So with union negotiations approaching, the Metropolitan Transit Authority is threatening a further 20 percent cutback in service (supposedly to save the 50-cent fare) despite the half-billion dollar transportation bond issue just extorted from taxpayers.

At the same time the Emergency Financial Control Board (a state-created panel of bankers with veto power over union contracts) is once again "predicting" layoffs. And since he had such a ball with the last "fiscal crunch," Mayor Ed Koch has already slated a new financial crisis for 1982! What the poor and working people of New York City urgently need is a class-struggle leadership of the labor movement, capable of waging a fight to smash the whole EFCB/Carey/Koch austerity program. However, none of the opposition groups in the TWU puts forward such a program to mobilize this key sector of the city workforce, through industrial action and politically, nor even to adequately defend the members' livelihoods from the new capitalist onslaught.

TWU Can Lead the Way

Born during the great unionization drives of the 1930s, the Transport Workers Union was first organized out of the same building as the Communist Party's Trade Union Unity League. It used militant strikes and a historic 1937 power plant occupation to win union recognition from the Rockefeller- and



Transit workers demonstrate against '78 sellout contract.

WV Photo

Morgan-owned subway and bus lines. TWU head Mike Quill was one of NYC labor's most colorful leaders: in response to red-baiting in the '30s he replied, "I'd rather be called a red by a rat, than a rat by a red." In 1937 he was first elected to the New York city council on the American Labor Party slate, which endorsed ex-Republican La Guardia for mayor. (The ALP was a bogus "labor party" cooked up by Rooseveltian union tops and the Stalinists to win workers' votes for "progressive" capitalist politicians like FDR.)

Despite its militancy, Quill's TWU never transcended CP-brand reformism. And with the onset of the Cold War, Quill broke with the Communist Party, conducting a vicious red purge in the union. While routinely breaking wildcat strikes in the '50s, he kept a toughness unknown to the smooth AFL-CIO brand of "labor statesmen." Dragged off to jail during the 1966 strike for ripping up anti-strike court injunctions, Quill declared: "The judge can drop dead in his black robes!" The

continued on page 9

Steel Workers Demand: Get Keith a Union Lawyer!

USWA Chiefs Threaten to Scuttle Anwar Defense

CHICAGO — Steel workers in the Chicago/northern Indiana area are rallying to stop their International and district union leaders from sabotaging the fight to save the job of Keith Anwar. A member of the United Steelworkers of America (USWA) Local 1010, Anwar was fired by Inland Steel last May for respecting the picket lines of another USWA local at the East Chicago mill. In the last week over 1,500 steel workers have signed petitions demanding that Anwar's grievance not be dropped, that the union immediately provide him with a lawyer and aggressively take all measures necessary to get his job back.

The petition was prompted because USWA International president Lloyd McBride and District 31 director Jim Balanoff have made the case into a factional football, threatening to drop the case through inaction. Although an arbitration date has been set for November 29, both District 31 and International tops have balked at providing Anwar with representation at the hearing. According to Anwar, the International earlier withdrew an attorney assigned to

the case, then sent Jim Balanoff a letter informing him that it was his responsibility either to handle the case himself or obtain outside counsel. Balanoff's response was "no lawyer." Meanwhile Ted Rogus, the union's staff representative formally in charge of the case, has threatened to drop the grievance if no lawyer is secured.

A leaflet dated November 14 issued by the Keith Anwar Defense Committee asks: "What's all the crap about? McBride and Balanoff seem to think that their factional squabbling and maneuvering before the 1981 [USWA] elections is more important than the defense of the principle that no union member should be forced by the company to cross a picket line. Keith Anwar lost his job fighting for that principle and the union needs a victory in this case so that our strikes don't have picket lines that are like Swiss cheese... if this grievance is allowed to die it will be a slap in the face to the entire District membership."

Balanoff's posture is particularly outrageous given the tremendous

amount of support Anwar has received from District 31 steel workers. According to Anwar, "Balanoff could break this logjam in five minutes. The International even agreed in writing to pay the legal expenses of any attorney that Balanoff obtained." The Anwar defense case has been endorsed by Locals 1010 and 65 and by some 400 individual union members. Five hundred District 31 USWA members signed post cards addressed to the union urging a vigorous defense of Anwar, and last month his own Local 1010 sponsored a rally in support of the right to honor picket lines with Anwar as a featured speaker.

The rally was approved by the Rank and File Caucus, which has overwhelming control in Local 1010 and which is closely tied to the "progressive" Balanoff District leadership. Moreover, prosecuting this grievance with "all available resources" is official District policy. Last June the District 31 conference unanimously adopted a resolution which went on record to defend all union members victimized by the companies for respecting picket lines

and demanded in particular that Keith Anwar be reinstated with full back pay and seniority.

The leaflet issued by the Keith Anwar Defense Committee emphasized that during Balanoff's election campaign, "...he talked a lot about 'the right to strike,' but today all we're getting is a lot of hot air. If Balanoff doesn't get behind this case he's going to prove to the District membership that he is a phony. Phonies don't get votes and the Rank and File Caucus should think twice about where their leadership is taking them." Now is the time for steel workers to mobilize to save the Anwar grievance and the right to honor picket lines. Implement the District 31 policy! Get Anwar's job back! ■

Funds and support are needed more than ever. The Keith Anwar Defense Committee can be reached at Box 7914, Chicago, Illinois 60680. Phone: (312) 768-2233.